UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,918	07/09/2001	Ken Fernald	CYGL-24,692	7118
	7590 09/17/200° ARNOTT, L.L.P		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 7417	-		THAI, TUAN V	
DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@dalpat.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	09/901,918	FERNALD, KEN
Examinor findatoa filos from Gaillings	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan V. Thai	2186
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Tuan V. Thai</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Gregory M. Howison (Reg. No. 30,646).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 September 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview. since the interview
	·	
(Fromings (ODF Cines)		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Due to the erroneousness of the claim dependency caused by the entry of the 312 amendment filed on 5/13/2007; Applicant's counsel agree to vacate the entry of said amendment and to restore the claim status as per Examiner amendment dated 2/13/2007...

TUAN V. THAI